

# Fermilab

1

21 June 1982  
TM 1117  
1104.200

## CASIM Predictions of Meson West Tevatron Target Soil Activation

Suzanne A. Gronemeyer

A new Meson West Target has been proposed for Tevatron II. The dump design proposed by David Eartly is shown in Figures 1-4. Figure 1 shows a longitudinal side view of the dump and the shield for target produced muons. In Figure 3, the core of the dump is seen to be a copper plate surrounding the beam. The copper is surrounded by iron, which is in turn shielded by concrete. The tunnel is embedded in sand and gravel. The sand and gravel within 3' of the concrete is continuously drained by underdrains. Soil below the top of the underdrains is not considered to be protected (Ref. 1). Activity produced in the unprotected soil below can be leached out, transported to the aquifer, and subsequently reach public water supplies. It is the activation of this unprotected soil which is of particular interest. Since the specific type of beryllium target to be used has not yet been decided, and since it would only reduce the resultant soil activation, I have chosen to ignore it and to presume that all the targetted intensity is lost on the dump.

I have made CASIM (Ref. 2) calculations of the levels of soil activation to be expected using the proposed dump design. Since the activation of the unprotected soil is the major consideration, I made the calculations using rectangular geometry (rather than the usual cylindrical approximation). To improve the statistics of my results, I reflect the lower half through the horizontal plane passing through the beam and divide the result by 2.

The beam parameters used were:

Incident beam: 1000 GeV/c protons  
Beam begins to interact: 1.0 cm into dump  
Threshold momentum: 0.3 GeV/c  
Beam spot size: 1.0 x 1.0 cm

The dump design modeled was:

Backscatter shield: steel 3' high by 5' wide by 6' long. The shield is surrounded by concrete out

Dump: to the same distance as the dump (see Figures).  
 Dump: Copper plate 4" high by 12" wide, centered on beam axis, surrounded by steel. The steel extends 54" east of the beam, 48" west of the beam, and 18" below the beam, with an extra steel plate 4" high by 3' wide centered below the beam underneath the main body of the shield. The length of the dump is 20'.  
 Concrete: The concrete floor under the dump is 22" thick. Concrete tunnel walls or shield blocks extend 3' laterally on either side of the dump. There are 4' concrete immediately downstream of the dump.

The region of interest for soil activation is the soil below the top of the underdrains, which will be 3' below the bottom of the concrete floor of the dump. The underdrains are emptied by sump pumps.

The number of stars produced in each region of interest were summed in the CASIM run. The procedure of Ref. 3 was used to obtain radionuclide production and subsequent transport to the aquifer. As discussed in Ref. 3, the radionuclides of interest are  $^3\text{H}$  and  $^{22}\text{Na}$ . Their production rates in glacial till are given there as:

$$\begin{aligned} ^3\text{H} &= 0.075 \text{ leachable atoms/star} \\ ^{22}\text{Na} &= 0.003 \text{ leachable atoms/star (15% of total } ^{22}\text{Na production).} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Figure 5 is a plot of star densities as a function of effective radius ( $R$ ) and distance along the beam axis ( $Z$ ). The effective radius of the components has been used to show their outlines. Since the actual geometry is rectangular rather than cylindrical, one should use the actual radius to a point of interest to predict the levels at that point using Figure 5. The  $Z$  distribution prediction can be considered to be quantitative.

The formula for calculating activities from the number of stars/proton is given by Ref. 3 as:

$$A = NSP/T \times 3.7 \text{ E10}, \quad (2)$$

where  $A$  = activity produced in Curies/year,  
 $N$  = number of protons/year,  
 $S$  = number of stars/proton in the region of interest,  
 $P$  = production rate in atoms/star of leachable radionuclide,  
 $T$  = mean lifetime:

$$\begin{aligned} T(3H) &= 17.7 \text{ yr} = 5.58 \times 10^8 \text{ seconds} \\ T(22Na) &= 3.75 \text{ yr} = 1.18 \times 10^8 \text{ seconds} \\ 1 \text{ Curie (Ci)} &= 3.7 \times 10^{10} \text{ decays/second.} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming Tevatron running conditions of 180 days/year, 60% duty cycle, 60 spills/hour, and 5 E12 protons/spill on the Meson West Target gives:

$$N = 7.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ protons/year.} \quad (3)$$

As discussed in Ref. 3, horizontal transport of the leachable radionuclides happens very rapidly and can therefore be neglected in soil activation estimates. The vertical transport to the Silurian aquifer (V) is estimated to be (Ref. 3):

$$\begin{aligned} V(3H) &= 3.6-7.2 \text{ feet/year} \\ V(22Na) &= 1.6-3.2 \text{ feet/year.} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The elevation of the Silurian aquifer is about 677'. The elevation of the beam is to be 746'; unprotected soil begins at an elevation of about 740'. Thus the radionuclides must travel about 63' vertically to reach the aquifer. The times required with the most conservative transit rates are:

$$\begin{aligned} 3H: 63'/(7.2'/year) &= 8.75 \text{ years} \\ 22Na: 63'/(3.2'/year) &= 19.7 \text{ years.} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Thus their activities upon reaching the aquifer have been reduced by factors of:

$$\begin{aligned} P(3H) &= \exp(-8.75/17.7) = 0.610 \\ P(22Na) &= \exp(-19.7/3.75) = 0.00525. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Three separate CASIM runs were made, each using a different random number seed and calculating 200,000 pseudostars. The results were 0.712, 0.540, and 0.332 stars/incident proton in unprotected soil. The average is 0.528; the standard deviation is 0.190 (statistical only). The result can probably be assumed to be accurate within a factor of about three (Ref. 3). Using:

$$S = 0.528 \text{ stars/proton,} \quad (7)$$

Equations 1-3, 6-7, and the factor of 2 from reflection, we predict the activity/year reaching the Silurian aquifer to be:

$$\begin{aligned} A(3H) &= \frac{7.8 \times 10^{17} \times 0.528 \times 0.610 \times 0.075}{2 \times 5.58 \times 10^8 \times 3.7 \times 10^{10}} \\ &= 0.456 \text{ milliCuries/year,} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$A \text{ (22Na)} = \frac{7.8 \times 10^{-17} \times 0.528 \times 0.00525 \times 0.003}{2 \times 1.18 \times 10^8 \times 3.7 \times 10^10}$$

$$= 0.743 \text{ microCuries/year.}$$

The EPA upper concentration limits on community water systems are:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^3\text{H: } & 20 \text{ pCi(picoCuries)/ml} \\ {}^{22}\text{Na: } & 0.2 \text{ pCi/ml.} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The Laboratory has applied this limit to users of individual wells in considering new construction. The minimum consumption per well user is taken as:

$$\text{Consumption} = 40 \text{ gal/day} = 5.55 \times 10^7 \text{ ml/year.}$$

This figure coupled with the activities reaching the Silurian aquifer per year give concentrations (C):

$$\begin{aligned} C \text{ (3H)} &= 0.456 \text{ milliCi}/5.55 \times 10^7 \text{ ml} = 8.2 \text{ pCi/ml} \\ C \text{ (22Na)} &= 0.743 \text{ microCi}/5.55 \times 10^7 \text{ ml} = 0.013 \text{ pCi/ml,} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

values well within the legal and more stringent Laboratory limit (Ref. 4).

For a mixture of radionuclides, the weighted sum of the concentrations must be less than 1. This condition is also filled:

$$8.2/20 + 0.013/0.2 = 0.48. \quad (11)$$

It is worth noting that if the underdrains are located 3' lower (i.e. 3' of additional soil are protected), the values of S obtained (Eqn. 7) are 0.0564, 0.0526, and 0.0314, for an average of 0.0468, as opposed to 0.528. Leaching water must travel 3' less to the aquifer (Eqn. 5). The net result (Eqns. 8 and 10) would be 0.0414 millicuries/yr or 0.746 pCi/ml of  ${}^3\text{H}$  and 0.0845 microcuries/yr or 0.0015 pCi/ml of  ${}^{22}\text{Na}$ . Thus an additional 3' of protected soil would improve the soil activation problem by about an order of magnitude.

A complete listing of my SUBMIT file for running this job interactively on the CYBER is included as an appendix. It is available as a public file: GET,STM1117/UN=94590.

I wish to thank David Eartly for suggesting this calculation. Don Cossairt, Jack Couch, and Sam Baker made many valuable suggestions. Cossairt's TM-945 (Ref. 5) was particularly useful.

## References:

1. S. I. Baker, private communication, 17 June 1982.  
Based on C. W. Pfingsten and C. N. Baker of Soil  
Testing Services, "Ground Water Seepage Study,  
Neutrino and Main Accelerator Laboratory Areas,  
National Accelerator Laboratory, near Batavia,  
Illinois", memo to R. E. Pages of Daniel, Urbahn,  
Seelye, and Fuller, 25 July 1973.
2. A. Van Ginneken, "CASIM: A Program to Simulate  
Transport of Hadronic Cascades in Bulk Matter",  
FNAL FN-272, January, 1975.
3. P. J. Gollon, "Soil Activation Calculations for the  
Anti-Proton Target Area", FNAL TM-816, September  
14, 1978.
4. A. L. Read, "Regulations on Exposure from Drinking  
Water", memo to Division/Department Heads, April 5,  
1979.
5. J. D. Cossairt, "Soil Activation Calculations for  
the Proposed Neutrino Front Hall", FNAL TM-945,  
January 15, 1980.

West Tevatron Target Dump Model. Reflect through horizontal beam plane. Elevation view.





Figure 1

Section through Target Muon Shield. Reflect through horizontal beam plane. Elevation view.



Soil protected by Underdrains

ELEV = 739' 8"

Underdrains

13' 8"  
(417 cm)


Unprotected Soil

R = 20'  
(609.6 cm)

Looking downstream  
Scale: 3 squares/foot

Figure 2

Section through Dump. Reflect through horizontal beam plane.




13' 8" (417 cm)

Unprotected Soil

Elevation View  
looking downstream  
Scale: 3 square foot

Figure 3

Section through Concrete downstream Of Dam. Reflected  
through horizontal beam plane.




13' 8" (41.7 cm)  
Unprotected Soil.

Elevation View  
Looking Downstream  
Scale: 38 squares/foot

Figure 4

Dump Components drawn in using their effective radius,  $R_{eff}$ , vs depth ( $z$ ).  $R_{eff} = \sqrt{height \times width / \pi}$

CONTOURS OF EQUAL STAR(ENERGY) DENSITY, IN UNITS OF STARS(GEV)/(CM<sup>3</sup>\*INC.PTCLE)  
CONTOURS ARE SHOWN FOR THE INTEGRAL POWERS OF 10



R-LABELS REFER TO SMALLER VALUES OF CORRESPONDING BINS  
 LEGEND : NUMERICAL SYMBOLS REFER TO THE NEGATIVE POWER OF 10 OF THE STAR(ENERGY) DENSITY E.G., 5 REFERS TO THE 10\*\*-5 CONTOUR  
 OTHER POWERS OF 10 (SYMBOLS) : -10(A), -11(B), -12(C), -13(D), -14(E), -15(F), -16(G), -17(H), -18(I), -19(J)  
 1(Z), 2(Y), 3(X), 4(W), 5(V), 6(U), 7(T), 8(S), 9(R), 10(Q)

AGUQ, T7777, HP.  
USER, 94590, [REDACTED] PASSWORD.  
CHARGE, G37.

PURGE, TARGET/NA.

1 DEFINE, OUTPUT=TARGET.

2 ATTACH, NAME7/UV=LIBR.

3 NAME7, TEV, MWEST, TARGET, ACTIVE, SOIL.

4 RETJRN, NAME7.

5 GET, OLDPL=CASTIMD.

6 UPDATE, F.

7 FTN, I, PMD, ER, R=2, OPT=1, L.

8 MAP, PART.

9 LDSET(PRESET=ZERO)

10 LGD.

11 CTIME.

12 DAYFILE.

13 REWIND, OUTPUT.

14 COPY, OUTPUT, TARGET.

15 REWIND(OUTPUT)

16 COPYEI(OUTPUT=PRINT)

17 SKIPEI(OUTPUT)

18 ROUTE(PRINT, DC=PR)

19 \*

20 SKIP(END)

21 EXIT.

22 ENDIF(END)

23 CTIME.

24 DAYFILE, OP=I.

25 DAYFILE, GASDF.

26 REPLACE, CASDF.

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

```
*IDENT.GAUSS
*I CASIM.10
C THIS BLOCK PUTS IN GAUSSIAN SPOTS WITH BSIZ INTERPRETED AS
C SIGMA IN UNITS OF C.1.
1      DIMENSION IXSIG(40),IYSIG(40)
2      COMMON/GAUSS/IXSIG,IYSIG
3
*I CASIM.11
4      DATA IXSIG,IYSIG/40*C,40*0/
5
*D CASIM.177,190
6      XA=GAUS(0.0,BSIZ)
7      YA=GAUS(0.0,BSIZ)
8      2998 NNX=5.0*XA/BSIZ +20.0
9      NNY=5.0*YA/BSIZ +20.00
10     IF(NNX.GT.40)NNX=40
11     IF(NNY.GT.40)NNY=40
12     IF(NNX.LT.1)NNX=1
13     IF(NNY.LT.1)NNY=1
14     IXSIG>NNX)=IXSIG>NNX)+1
15     IYSIG>NNY)=IYSIG>NNY)+1
16 3000 CONTINUE
17
*I CASIM.370
18      FUNCTION GAUS(AV,SD)
19      A=-6.
20      DO 10 I=1,12
21      10 A=A+RAN(V)
22      GAUS=A*SD+AV
23      RETURN
24      END
25
*I 2/14/80.3
26      DIMENSION IXSIG(40),IYSIG(40)
27      COMMON/GAUSS/IXSIG,IYSIG
28
*I 2/14/80.17
29      WRITE(6,1000)
30      1000 FORMAT(141,* DISTRIBUTION OF INCIDENT X VALUES. BSIZ = 5
31      1UNITS*/1X,*ZERO = 20 UNITS*)
32      WRITE(6,1001)(I,IXSIG(I),I=1,40)
33
34      1001 FORMAT(1X,15,110)
35      WRITE(6,1002)
36      1002 FORMAT(141,* DISTRIBUTION OF INCIDENT Y VALUES. BSIZ = 5
37      1UNITS*/1X,*ZERO = 20 UNITS*)
38      WRITE(6,1001)(I,IYSIG(I),I=1,40)
39
40      *IDENT.STARSUM
41      *I CASIM.10
42      REAL NSTMA(5)
43      COMMON/SUM/NSTMA,NNNN
44
45      *I 2/14/80.1
46      NNNN=MNEW
47
48      *I RECORD.10
49      REAL NSTMA(5)
50      COMMON/SUM/NSTMA,NNNN
51
52      *I 2/14/80.19
53      NNNN=NNEW
54
55      *I BINS.12
56      REAL NSTMA(5)
57      COMMON/SUM/NSTMA,NNNN
58
59      *I BINS.26
60      IF(NNNN.GE.NDFT.BRTHH.E0.0)GO TO 26
61      IF(NQ.LE.3)NSTMA(NNNN)=NSTMA(NNNN)+X
62 26  CONTINUE
63
64      *I BINPT.13
65      REAL NSTMA(5)
66      COMMON/SUM/NSTMA,NNNN
67      DATA NSTMA/E#0.0/
68
69      *I BINPT.44
```

```


DO 75 I=1,NE
 75 NSTMA(I)=NSTHA(I)/(NUINC*ENCR(7))
*I BINPT.97
  WRITE(6,95)NSTMA
95 FORMAT(* STARS/INC.PTCL IN MATERIAL NO. 1-5*,5E10.3,/)
*IDENT.TARGET
*D BINSTA.19,.20
  IF(NGDM.NE.2) GO TO 500
  IF(((IZ-.5)*ZBL.LT.TUNE).AND.((IR-.5)*RBL.LT.TUNR)) GO TO 560
  500 CONTINUE
*D EMSHWR.47
  80 CALL HITORM(XB,YB,ZB,NN,DFX,DFY,DFZ)
*D EMSHWR.96
  CALL HITORM(XB,YB,ZB,NL,DEX,DEY,DEZ)
*D EDP.54
  CALL HITORM(XC,YC,ZC,NG,DCX,DCY,DCZ)
*I EDP.73
  J=11
*D EDP.91
  CALL HITORM(XC,YC,ZC,NL,DDX,DDY,DDZ)
*D EDP.131
  72 CALL HITORM(XD,YD,ZD,NI,VX,VY,VZ)
*D HITORM.12,.40
C THIS VERSION CALCULATES SOIL ACTIVATION BELOW THE PROPOSED
C TEVATRON MESON WEST TARGET BOX. THE SUBMIT FILE IS STARGET,
C AND THE OUTPUT FILE IS TARGET. THE CASIMUD VERSION OF
C CASIM IS USED FOR THE CALCULATION. I USE AL JONCKHEERE'S UPDATES
C AND DON COSSAIRT'S GAUSSIAN BEAM SPOT; I.E. I INCLUDE BOTH THEIR
C IMPROVEMENTS TO THE PROGRAM.

```

```

C N=NOUT => OUTSIDE REGION OF INTEREST
C N=0 => VACUUM/AIR
C N=1 => STEEL
C N=2 => CONCRETE
C N=3 => SOIL "PROTECTED" BY A SUMP PUMP
C N=4 => UNPROTECTED SOIL
C N=5 => COPPER PLATE ON BEAM AXIS

```



```

N=NOUT
IF(RR.GE.PLIS) RETURN
IF((Z.LE.0).OR.(Z.GE.ZLIM)) RETURN

```

C CALCULATE ABSOLUTE VALUES

*Program dimensions  
in centimeters.*

C REENTRANT CAVITY 1 INCH HIGH BY 2 INCHES WIDE BY 6 FEET LONG  
C INSIDE STEEL 3 FEET HIGH BY 2 FEET WIDE.

N=0
 IF(Z.GE.182.9) GO TO 100
 IF(AX.GE.1.27.OR.AY.GE.2.54) N=1
 C HORIZONTAL (Y) BOUNDARIES OF STEEL (N=1), CONCRETE (N=2), AND
 C PROTECTED (BY SUMP PUMP) SOIL (N=3).
 C UNPROTECTED (BY SUMP PUMP) SOIL IS N=4.
 IF(AY.GE.76.2) N=2
 IF(Y.LE.-213.OR.Y.GE.229) N=3
 IF(Y.LE.-305.OR.Y.GE.320) N=4

C VERTICAL (X) BOUNDARIES OF STEEL (N=1), 22 INCH THICK CONCRETE
 C (N=2), AND PROTECTED SOIL (N=3). UNPROTECTED SOIL IS N=4.
 C I REFLECT THROUGH THE HORIZONTAL PLANE TO IMPROVE STATISTICS.
 IF(AX.GE.45.7) N=2
 IF(AX.GT.102) N=3

IF(AX.GT.193) N=4  
RETURN

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

20 FOOT SOLID DUMP DOWNSTREAM OF REENTRANT CAVITY

HORIZONTAL (Y) BOUNDARIES OF STEEL (N=1), CONCRETE (N=2), AND  
PROTECTED (BY A SUMP PUMP) SOIL (N=3).  
UNPROTECTED (BY SUMP PUMP) SOIL IS N=4.  
COPPER (N=5) PLATE 4 IN HIGH BY 12 IN WIDE IS CENTERED ON BEAM

100 CONTINUE

IF(Z.GE.792.5) GO TO 200

N=5

IF(AX.GE.5.08.DR.AY.GE.15.2) N=1

IF(Y.LE.-122.DR.Y.GE.137) N=2

IF(Y.LE.-213.DR.Y.GE.229) N=3

IF(Y.LE.-305.DR.Y.GE.320) N=4

C VERTICAL (X) BOUNDARIES OF STEEL. I REFLECT THROUGH THE HORIZONTAL  
PLANE TO IMPROVE STATISTICS.

IF(AY.LE.45.7.AND.AX.GE.55.8) N=2

IF(AY.GT.45.7.AND.AX.GE.45.7) N=2

IF(AX.GT.102) N=3

IF(AX.GT.193) N=4

RETURN

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

HORIZONTAL (Y) BOUNDARIES OF CONCRETE (N=2) AND PROTECTED SOIL (N=3).  
UNPROTECTED (BY SUMP PUMP) SOIL IS N=4.

200 CONTINUE

N=2

IF(Y.LE.-213.DR.Y.GE.229) N=3

IF(Y.LE.-305.DR.Y.GE.320) N=4

C VERTICAL (X) BOUNDARIES. I REFLECT THROUGH THE HORIZONTAL  
PLANE TO IMPROVE STATISTICS.

IF(AX.GT.102) N=3

IF(AX.GT.193) N=4

RETURN

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

C

INPUT DATA FOR THIS RUN:

7777.  
1 1000. 0.3  
1 1.0E-15 1.0 1.0 200000 0  
17176753340214367761

1	914.4	609.0	183.9	
2	5			
3	26.	55.85	7.86	27.4
4	11.	23.	2.40	49.
5	11.	23.	2.40	49.
6	11.	23.	2.40	49.
	29.	63.54	8.96	24.8

7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	